

The aim of this GNET report is to add additional empirical evidence and analysis that elaborates and elucidates the difference between violent and non-violent manifestos when it comes to conspiratorial and violent language.

Using in-depth qualitative content analysis of these manifestos in conjunction with text-mining techniques in order to perform a systematic quantitative analysis of key terms therein, the report finds:



1

CONSPIRATORIAL NARRATIVES

The common denominator in all violent and non-violent extreme right manifestos surveyed is the conspiratorial narrative that the white race is becoming extinct and being replaced by non-whites.

2

LINGUISTIC DIFFERENCES

There are considerable differences within the RWLA manifestos and between them and non-violent manifestos as to the targeted out-group, format and solutions prescribed by the authors.

3

LINGUISTIC SIMILARITIES

What is evident is how similarities overshadow differences between the violent and non-violent manifestos. Using the Grievance Dictionary, we found that two of the non-violent manifestos sampled had violent and threat-based language equal to or greater than other RWLA manifestos.